

From: [District3 Information](#)
To: [Brock, Robyn](#)
Subject: FW: Permit issue.
Date: Wednesday, January 21, 2026 8:18:59 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Hi Robyn,

Forwarding this email we received. Thanks!

With gratitude,

Aizzy Portillo

Board Assistant to Supervisor Chuck Washington

Third District, Riverside County

O: (951) 955 – 1030

D: (951) 955 - 4253 | E: Aportillo@rivco.org



From: Greg R. <hemet.net@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 3:40 PM
To: District3 Information <D3Email@RIVCO.ORG>
Cc: Morfin Acevedo, Melissa <MMAcevedo@Rivco.org>
Subject: Permit issue.

Dear Members of the Board:

My name is Greg Reed.

Property Address: 44100 Ginger Circle

APN: 571-040-002-9

Record of Survey: Book 53, Page 40, Parcel 2 (recorded 1968)

Assessor Reference: January 1970 Assessor Map File 571-04, Parcel 2

To Supervisor Chuck Washington:

I submit this statement to provide absolute clarity about the nature of my complaint and the corrective action I am requesting from the Board.

This matter is not a dispute with my neighbors. It is not an easement dispute. I am not challenging the existence of recorded easements. The issue is the County's administrative record and the Department of Environmental Health's ("DEH") continued reliance on a falsified or misapplied permit record, compounded by unresolved Building & Safety permitting defects.

My property (APN 571-040-002-9) contains a well that was drilled after May 1, 1990 and completed by December 5, 1990. Riverside County Ordinance 682 requires a legally issued well permit for this work. No legally issued well permit exists for drilling a well on my parcel. This is a direct compliance failure under Ordinance 682 beginning with the permit application and issuance requirements in Section 3, and it creates multiple downstream violations that remain uncorrected.

In addition, the well's electrical system was energized in 1992 with 220-volt service and fed from a neighboring parcel's meter located two parcels east of mine, without a valid electrical permit authorizing that configuration. Building & Safety has no valid electrical permit authorizing a well electrical service or power configuration for my well. This is a separate and ongoing permitting defect.

Despite these defects, DEH has produced and/or relied upon a version of "Permit #16245" that is being treated as if it authorizes the well on my property. That permit record lists the owner name as "Weber Valley Heights Water Association." That owner identification is materially incorrect and is inconsistent with Ordinance 682's owner/permit integrity requirements. Neither the State of California nor the County of Riverside maintains a valid doing-business-as (DBA) registration for that entity, and the Riverside County Recorder has no recorded document showing "Weber Valley Heights Water Association" as a property owner for my parcel or as a lawful authority holder over my well.

I also address a specific DEH assertion: Jeff Johnson of DEH claimed that the well on my property was part of the "Weber Valley Heights Water Association" in 1990. I have repeatedly requested that DEH identify the factual and documentary basis for that claim—specifically, the chain of documents that would establish lawful authority, ownership, or a valid transfer applicable to my parcel. If DEH cannot produce that foundation, the claim should be formally retracted and the County record corrected.

Finally, after the early 2000s—and despite the absence of a lawful permit foundation—my separate private well was treated as incorporated into a State Small Water System regulatory

framework. This raises additional compliance issues under Title 22, including 22 CCR §§ 64211, 64215, and 64216, and it reinforces why this matter is a records-integrity and jurisdictional defect, not a neighbor-to-neighbor easement issue.

Requested Board Action: I am requesting Board-level direction requiring DEH and Building & Safety to (1) correct the administrative record, (2) identify and produce the actual lawful basis for any claimed permit authority over my parcel (if any), and (3) issue written confirmation of compliance once the record is corrected and all permitting defects are addressed.

I am submitting an exhibit video (approximately six minutes) that summarizes these issues and visually supports the timeline and the core record defects described above.

Respectfully submitted,

Greg Reed

44100 Ginger Circle

APN 571-040-002-9

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1I_CplX10nKKj_TosE7TQe6y4e0483Jjq/view?usp=sharing

This PDF is made from compiled facts.

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1fE9oR7h4aQuzQRyu3GUWTSaZ8ciGcPIT/view?usp=sharing>