

From: [Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [District3](#); [District3 Information](#)
Subject: FW: Flawed permit issue.
Date: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 2:23:02 PM
Attachments: [image001.gif](#)

Good afternoon,

Forwarding this COB email to your attention. Greg Reed has been calling consistently to get a hold of someone from Environmental Health or District 3 regarding his complaint.

Best regards,

Kate Flores

Clerk of the Board Assistant
Riverside County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Room 127
Riverside, CA 92501
Phone: (951) 955-1069
Website: <http://rivcocob.org/>
<https://www.facebook.com/RivCoCOB/>



NOTICE: This communication is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain **information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure** under applicable law. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by reply email or by telephone and immediately delete this communication and all its attachments.

From: Greg R. <hemet.net@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 1:47 PM
To: Clerk of the Board <COB@RIVCO.ORG>
Cc: D3emeil@rivco.prg; Mmacevedo@rovco.org
Subject: Flawed permit issue.

Dear members of the Board,

My name is Greg Reed. My property is
44100 Ginger Circle
APN 571-040-002-9

(Record of Survey Book 53, Page 40, Parcel 2 – recorded 1968) January 1970 assessor map file 571-04 par2.

To the Riverside County Board of Supervisors:

I am submitting this statement to provide absolute clarity about the nature of my complaint.

This is not about a dispute with my neighbors over my well. I am not challenging the existence of recorded easements. The issue is the County's administrative record and DEH's continued reliance on a falsified or misapplied permit record.

My property (APN 571-040-002-9) contains a well that was drilled after May 1, 1990 and completed by December 5, 1990. Riverside County Ordinance 682 requires a legally issued well permit for this work. No legally issued permit exists for drilling a well on my parcel.

Despite that, DEH has produced and/or relied upon a version of "Permit #16245" that is being treated as if it authorizes the well on my property. That permit record lists the owner name as "Weber Valley Heights Water Association." That owner identification is materially incorrect and violates Ordinance 682's owner/permit integrity requirements. Neither the State of California nor the County of Riverside maintains a valid doing-business-as (DBA) registration for that entity, and the Riverside County Recorder has no recorded document showing "Weber Valley Heights Water Association" as a property owner for my parcel or as a lawful authority holder over my well.

Separately, Riverside County Building & Safety has no valid electrical permit authorizing a well electrical service or well power configuration on my property. This is an additional permitting defect that remains uncorrected in the County record.

I also need to address a specific DEH assertion: Jeff Johnson of DEH claimed that the well on my property was part of the "Weber Valley Heights Water Association" in 1990. I have repeatedly requested that DEH identify the factual and documentary basis for that claim—specifically, the chain of documents that would establish lawful authority, ownership, or a valid transfer applicable to my parcel. If DEH cannot produce that foundation, the claim should be formally retracted and the County record corrected.

Finally, after the early 2000s—and despite the absence of a lawful permit foundation—my separate private well was treated as incorporated into a State Small Water System

regulatory framework. This raises additional compliance issues under Title 22 (including 22 CCR §§ 64211, 64215, and 64216), and it reinforces why this matter is a records-integrity and jurisdictional defect, not a neighbor-to-neighbor easement issue.

I am submitting an exhibit video (approximately six minutes) that summarizes these issues and visually supports the timeline and the core record defects described above.

Respectfully submitted,

Greg R.

44100 Ginger Circle

APN 571-040-002-9

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1I_CpIX10nKKj_TosE7TQe6y4e0483Jjq/view?usp=sharing

This PDF is made from compiled facts.

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1fE9oR7h4aQuzQRyu3GUWTSaZ8ciGcPIT/view?usp=sharing>